

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/020,151	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CUBICCIOTTI, ROGER S.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Neil Turk	<b>Art Unit</b> 1743	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Neil Turk.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Larry Posorske (applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 May 2006

**Time:** 2:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

67 and 85

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner submitted that if claims 67 and 85 were withdrawn from the application, the remaining claims would be in condition for allowance. Applicant representative cancelled claims 67 and 85, thereby placing the remaining claims in condition for allowance..